COMPETITION TRIBUNAL REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Case No: 43/CR/Jun11

In the matter between:					
The Compet	Applicant				
and					
NTK Limpop	o Agr	ic Beperk	Respondent		
Panel	:	A Wessels (Presiding Member), M Mokuena (Tribunal Member), and A Ndoni (Tribunal Member)			
Heard on	:	09 November 2011			
Decided on	:	09 November 2011			

Order

The Tribunal hereby confirms the order as agreed to and proposed by the Competition Commission and the respondent, annexed hereto marked "A".

Presiding Member A Wessels

Decided on :

Concurring: M Mokuena and A Ndoni

1

IN THE COMPETITION TRIBUNAL OF SOUTH AFRICA HELD IN PRETORIA

CT Case No. 43/CR/Jun11 CC Case No. 2009Mar4349

In the matter between:			
THE COMPETITION CO	MMISSION		plicant
and 3		companial letterni	
NTK LIMPOPO AGRIC	BEPERK	16`	Respondent
	2011	-10- 06	
In re:		Margart	***************************************
THE COMPETITION CO	MINISSION RECEIVED BY:	Ap	plicant
	TIME:	2100	
and War			
AFGRI OPERATIONS L	MITED	1 st	Respondent
SENWES LIMITED			Respondent
NWK LIMITED	r'		Respondent
OVK OPERATIONS LIN			Respondent
SUIDWES (PTY) LIMITE	ΞD		Respondent
VRYSTAAT KOOPERA	SIE BEPERK	7th	Respondent Respondent
OVERBERG AGRI (PT)		Respondent	
DIE HUMANSDORPSE		Respondent	
SENTRAAL-SUID KOO	10	th Respondent	
GWK LIMITED KAAP AGRI BEDRYF L		th Respondent	
MGK BEDRYFSMAATS	. 12	th Respondent	
TUINROETE AGRI BEF	13	th Respondent	
MOREESBURGSE KO	PERK 14	th Respondent	
TWK LANDBOU BEPE	RK	าย	th Respondent
NTK LIMPOPO AGRIC	BEPERK	16	Respondent
THE GRAIN SILO INDU	JSTRY (PTY) LIMITED	17	7 th Respondent

CONSENT AGREEMENT IN TERMS OF SECTION 49D READ WITH SECTION 58(1)(a)(iii) and 58(1)(b) OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 89 OF 1998), AS AMENDED, BETWEEN THE COMPETITION COMMISSION ("THE COMMISSION") AND NTK LIMPOPO AGRIC BEPERK ("NTK"), IN RESPECT OF AN ALLEGED CONTRAVENTION OF SECTION 4(1)(b)(i) OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998 ("THE ACT").

M, ist

The Commission and NTK hereby agree that application be made to the Tribunal for the confirmation of this Consent Agreement in terms of section 58 (1)(a)(iii) as read with section 58(1)(b) of the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended, on the terms set out below:

1 Definitions

For the purposes of this Consent Agreement the following definitions shall apply:

- 1.1. "Act" means the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended;
- 1.2. "Commission" means the Competition Commission of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 19 of the Act, with its principal place of business at 1st Floor, Mulayo Building (Block C), the dti Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng;
- 1.3. "Commissioner" means the Commissioner of the Commission, appointed in terms of section 22 of the Act;
 - "Complaint" means the complaint under case number 2009Mar4349 initiated by the Commissioner in terms of section 49B of the Act, including a complaint concerned with allegations of price fixing in terms of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act initiated on 17 March 2009 as well as an expanded initiation on 25 May 2010 after the decision was made to include all the members and shareholders of the Grain Silo Industry;
 - "Consent Agreement" means this agreement duly signed and concluded between the Commission and NTK;
 - "Grain Silo Industry (Pty) Ltd" is a private company duly incorporated in accordance with the company laws of the Republic of South Africa, having its registered offices at Lynwood Corporate Park, Alkantrant Street, Lynwood Manor, Pretoria, Gauteng. The GSI represents its members in public forums wherein matters related to the storage and trading of grain and oilseeds are discussed and provides specialist research services that members may request on an ad-hoc basis. The GSI represents its constituent members in interactions with the Agricultural Products Division of the Johannesburg Stock Exchange (the "APD" previously "SAFEX").

1.7.		"NTK" means NTK Limpopo Agric Beperk, a company registered and
	* **	incorporated in accordance with the laws of the Republic of South-Africa
		with registration number 1980/008381/06 and with its registered
	3	office, in the alternative its main place of business, at 84 Limpopo Street
		Modimolle, Limpopo, South Africa.

"Parties" means the Commission and NTK;

1.8.

- 1.9 "Respondent" means for purposes of this agreement NTK;
- 1.10 "Respondents" means Respondents one (1) to seventeen (17) described above;
- 1.11: "SAFEX" means the South African Futures Exchange which was established to provide market participants with a price determination mechanism and a price risk management facility through which they can manage their exposure to adverse price movements in the underlying commodity.
- "Tribunal" means the Competition Tribunal of South Africa, a statutory body established in terms of section 26 of the Act, with its principal place of business at 3rd Floor, Mulayo building (Block C), the dti Campus, 77 Meintjies Street, Sunnyside, Pretoria, Gauteng.

2. The Complaint and Complaint Investigation

On 17 March 2009 the Commissioner initiated a complaint against Afgri Operations Limited ("Afgri"), Senwes Limited ("Senwes"), Noord-Wes Koöperasie Limited ("NWK"), OVK Operations Limited ("OVK"), Suidwes (Pty) Limited ("Suidwes"), Vrystaatse Koöperasie Limited ("VKB") and the Grain Silo Industry ("GSI") regarding, inter alia, alleged price fixing in respect of the determination of grain storage tariffs in contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.

The investigation revealed that the storage rate is agreed to and assented to not only by the entities against whom the original complaints initiation was made, but by all members and shareholders of the GSI. In the circumstances, on 25 May 2010 the Commissioner expanded the investigation and subsequently amended its initiation statement on 12 April 2011 to include all seventeen (17) respondents.

nid.

4

The Commission conducted its investigation and concluded that:

2.3.1.

2.3.

the respondents and GSI have contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act. The essence of the conduct complained of is that the respondents and GSI have contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act in that they fixed the prices of the daily storage tariff grain. This was done for application throughout the Republic. The first to sixteenth respondents are all former cooperatives who own grain storage silos and provide other agricultural services and are competitors in the market for grain storage.

2.4 The Commission found that:

2.4.1.

Notwithstanding the fact that they are competitors, the first to sixteenth respondents are all shareholders or members of the GSI. Although the GSI is a private company, it amounts to an industry association for members of the grain storage industry. SAFEX placed the onus for the determination of the storage rate on the GSI on the basis that it had the necessary knowledge and understanding of the costs involved in providing storage. Until 2008, SAFEX requested a standardised tariff from the GSI on an annual basis. In 2008, as is set out below, the GSI declined to provide the standardised storage tariff to SAFEX any longer on account of the Commission's contentions that it and its members were contravening section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act.

2.4.2.

It was the GSI's technical committee that was responsible for fixing the daily storage tariff on behalf of the GSI and its members for purpose of recommending a daily storage rate to SAFEX for use in respect of SAFEX traded contracts. In response to requests from SAFEX, the GSI consulted its shareholders. The shareholders submitted individual proposals as to the appropriate storage rate to GSI. These rates were collated and evaluated by the GSI's technical committee, the members of which are from competing silo companies. The technical committee then decided on a rate and this was then submitted to SAFEX on behalf of GSI and its shareholders.

2,4.3.

The essence of the conduct complained of is that the daily storage tariff proposed by GSI was agreed to and assented to by all of

W w

the respondents. Given that the first to sixteenth respondents are all competitors in the provision of storage services, the joint determination of the daily storage rate amounts to prohibited price fixing in that it amounts to an agreement between firms in a horizontal relationship for the direct fixing of storage prices.

- The manner in which the SAFEX storage tariff is determined is, in the Commission's view, restrictive of competition. In addition to agreeing to the SAFEX rate, the respondents exchanged detailed cost information.
- 2.5. The Commission took a decision to refer to the Tribunal its complaint that is described above.

3. Statement of conduct by NTK

NTK admits that it participated, as a member of the GSI, in the fixing of the daily grain storage tariff recommended to SAFEX in contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act as described above.

4. Administrative Penalty

- Having regard to the provisions of sections 58(1)(a)(iii) as read with sections 59(1)(a), 59(2) and 59(3) of the Act, NTK accepts that a contravention of section 4(1)(b)(i) may lead to the imposition of an administrative penalty where the *Tribunal* deems it appropriate.
- 4.2. The parties have agreed that *NTK* will pay an administrative penalty in the amount of R 189 854.66.
- 4.3. This amount constitutes 4% (four per cent) of the total grain silo storage turnover for the 2009 financial year;
- 4.4. NTK will pay the amount set out in paragraph 4.2 above to the Commission within 10 (ten) days of confirmation of this Consent Agreement by the Tribunal.



This payment shall be made into the Commission's bank account, details of which are as follows:

Bank name /Absa Bank

Branch name:

ccount holder:

Competition Commission Fees Account

(ccount number 4050778576

Account type:

Current Account

Branch Code:

5.1.1.

5.2.

The payment will be paid over by the Commission to the National Revenue Fund in accordance with section 59(4) of the Act.

Agreement Concerning Future Conduct

NTK. agrees to fully cooperate with the Commission in relation to the 5.1. prosecution of the complaint referral. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, NTK specifically agrees to:

> Testify in the complaint referral (if any) in respect of alleged contraventions covered by this Consent Agreement; and

To the extent that it is in existence, provide evidence, written or otherwise, which is in its possession or under its control, concerning the alleged contraventions contained in this Consent Agreement.

NTK agrees that it will in future refrain from the provision of contractual undertakings that have the potential to constitute contraventions of section 4(1)(b) of the Act.

NTK shall continue with developing, implementing and monitoring its programme incorporating corporate law compliance governance designed to ensure that its employees, management, directors and agents do not engage in future contraventions of the Competition Act In particular, NTK shall:

7

- 5.3.1 continue to implement a competition policy and compliance programme;
- 5.3.2. continue to provide training on competition law compliance on issues particularly relevant to *NTK* and its employees and officials:
- 5.3.3. update the competition policy and training annually after confirmation of this Consent Order and continue to do so on an annual basis to ensure *NTK*'s continued compliance with the Act.
- 5.4. NTK shall submit a copy of its compliance programme to the Commission within 60 days of the date of confirmation of the Consent Agreement by the Tribunal.

6. Full and Final Settlement:

This agreement, upon confirmation as an order by the *Tribunal*, is entered into in full and final settlement and concludes all proceedings between the *Commission* and *NTK* relating to any alleged contravention by the *Respondents* of the Act, namely the fixing of the daily grain silo storage tariff that is the subject of the Commission's investigation under case no. 2009 MAR4349.

Dated and signed at Modimalle on the 20 day of September 2011.

For NTK

Chief Executive Officer

Makus

Dated and signed at

on the 3 day of October

้าวักสส

For the Ammission

Competition Commissioner